



**Rule(s) Review Checklist Addendum**  
(This form must be filled out electronically.)

**This form is to be used only if the rule(s) was/were previously reviewed, and has/have not been amended/repealed subsequent to that review.**

All responses should be in **bold** format.

Document Reviewed (include title):

**WAC 458-19-055 "One hundred six percent levy limit – Proration of earmarked funds"**

Date last reviewed: **September 1998**

Current Reviewer: **Kim M. Qually**

Date current review completed: **December 26, 2001**

Is this document being reviewed at this time because of a taxpayer or association request?

**YES** ☐ **NO** ☒

**1. Briefly describe the subject matter of the rule(s):**

**WAC 458-19-055 explains how and when the levy rate for certain levies earmarked for specific purposes may be reduced as a result of the levy limit – "one hundred six percent." These earmarked purposes are the county firemen's pension fund; community services for persons with developmental disabilities or mental health services; and the veteran's assistance fund.**

**2. Related statutes, interpretive statements, court decisions, BTA decisions, and WTDs:**

| <b>YES</b> | <b>NO</b> |  |
|------------|-----------|--|
| <b>X</b>   |           | Are there any statutory changes subsequent to the previous review of this rule that should be incorporated?  |
|            | <b>X</b>  | Are there any interpretive statements not identified in the previous review of this rule that should be incorporated?  |
|            | <b>X</b>  | Are there any interpretive statements that should be repealed because the information is currently included in this or another rule, or the information is incorrect or not needed?  |
|            | <b>X</b>  | Are there any Board of Tax Appeal (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or Attorney Generals Opinions (AGOs) subsequent to the previous review of this rule that provide information that should be incorporated into this rule? |



|  |          |   |
|--|----------|---|
|  | <b>X</b> | Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions (WTDs)) subsequent to the previous review of this rule that provide information that should be incorporated into the rule?   |
|  | <b>X</b> | Are there any changes to the recommendations in the previous review of this rule with respect to any of the types of documents noted above? (An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed if any changes are recommended with respect to an interpretive statement.) |

If the answer is “yes” to any of the questions above, identify the pertinent document(s) and provide a brief summary of the information that should be incorporated into the document.

**The rule consistently refers to the 106% levy limit. This limit was changed by Referendum 47 (1997) and Initiative 747 (2001). The rule needs to be updated to reflect the levy limit under current law.**

**3. Additional information:** Identify any additional issues (other than that noted above or in the previous review) that should be addressed or incorporated into the rule. Note here if you believe the rule can be rewritten and reorganized in a more clear and concise manner. **None**

**4. Listing of documents reviewed:**

Statute(s) Implemented:

**RCW 41.16.060 “Tax levy for fund [fireman’s pension fund];”**

**RCW 71.20.110 “Tax levy directed--Allocation of funds for federal matching funds purposes [community services for persons with developmental disabilities or mental health services];”**

**RCW 73.08.080 “Tax levy authorized [veteran's assistance fund];”**

**RCW 84.52.763 “City firemen's pension fund levy authorized;”**

**RCW 84.52.796 “Mental retardation and developmental disability services levy authorized; and**

**RCW 84.52.799 “Veteran's relief fund levy authorized.”**

Interpretive statements (e.g., ETAs and PTAs): **None**

Court Decisions: **None**

Board of Tax Appeals Decisions (BTAs): **None**

Administrative Decisions (e.g., WTDs): **None**

Attorney General’s Opinions (AGOs): **None**

Other Documents: **None**



**5. Review Recommendation:**

|               |  |
|---------------|--|
| <u>  X  </u>  | <b>Amend</b>   |
| <u>      </u> | <b>Repeal</b> (Appropriate when repeal is not conditioned upon another rule-making action.)  |
| <u>      </u> | <b>Leave as is</b> (Appropriate even if the recommendation is to incorporate the current information into another rule.)                 |
| <u>      </u> | <b>Begin the rule-making process for possible revision.</b> (Applies only when the Department has received a petition to revise a rule.) |

**Explanation of recommendation:** Provide a brief summary of your recommendation, whether the same as or different from the original review of the document(s). If this recommendation differs from that of the previous review, explain the basis for this difference.

If recommending that the rule be amended, be sure to note whether the basis for the recommendation is to:

- Correct inaccurate tax-reporting information now found in the current rule;
- Incorporate legislation;
- Consolidate information now available in other documents; or
- Address issues not otherwise addressed in other.

**The rule needs to be amended to reflect current law as passed in Referendum 47 and Initiative 747.**

**6. Manager action:**    Date: \_\_\_\_\_

       Reviewed and accepted recommendation

Amendment priority:

- |               |   |
|---------------|---|
| <u>      </u> | 1 |
| <u>      </u> | 2 |
| <u>      </u> | 3 |
| <u>      </u> | 4 |